

Comments/suggestions on Udara's paper

1. Last line on page 2
"In this paper **[comma]** we also discuss..."
2. Line 6 on page 2
"In this paper **[comma]** we develop a new experimental..."
3. Line two on page 3
"These models can be constrained either by studying individual pulsars in detail or alternatively **[comma]** by using the collective properties of... "
4. First line on page 4
"Using this ratio **[comma]** we obtain the dependence of..."
5. Second sentence of second paragraph on page 6
"In both cases **[comma]** the SEDs of these sources were measured..."
6. First sentence after eq. 5 on page 13
"This relation is a mathematical identity which is valid for each individual pulsar and its associated pulsar **[period]** This identity **[comma]** however **[comma]** cannot be used to derive f_{ω} for a given pulsar ~~{comma}~~ because..."
7. Last sentence of page 13
"Instead **[comma]** we intend to obtain..."
8. First line of second paragraph on page 15
"~~For~~ PWNe Mattata et al. (2009) discussed the correlations between E and PWN X-ray luminosity ~~{comma}~~ as well as E and PWN TeV luminosity"
9. Third line from bottom of page 16
"Since ~~{comma}~~ the slope is consistent with zero **[comma]** we fit a constant to..."
10. Second sentence of second paragraph on page 16
"This is directly related to the models of PWN flux as a function of E **[period]**"
11. Last line of first paragraph on page 16
"Even though the error bars are large **[comma]** a reasonable correlation is obtained with a linear correlation coefficient of $R=0.82$, which we judge to be sufficiently encouraging to proceed..."
12. First line of section 6 on page 18
"As a summary of **Sections** 4 and 5, we proceed with..."
13. Second line on page 20
"We see that **although** these deviations represent the information..."
14. Last line on page 21
"This result is in agreement with ~~with~~ the idea that the scatter..."

15. Last line of first paragraph on page 24

"However, a comparison of our results with **an** improved model simulation could provide tighter restraints"

16. Line 5 on page 25

"Under this model [**comma**] the slope $\sigma=0.125$ and slope becomes 0.405 ± 0.03 ".
Is there a mistake in the wording?"